

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/674,746	09/30/2003	Jeff D. Crapo	12090.014	4216
75	90 04/08/2005		EXAMINER	
Vanessa B. Pierce			JANVIER, JEAN D	
Parsons Behle & Latimer			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Utah Center 201 South Main Street, Suite 1800			3622	
Salt Lake City,	UT 84111-2218		DATE MAILED: 04/08/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/674,746	CRAPO, JEFF	D.		
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jean D Janvier	3622			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a			dress		
	•				
This application is abandoned in view of:					
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Off (a) A reply was received on (with a Certificate of period for reply (including a total extension of time of time of time of the certificate of	f Mailing or Transmission dated f month(s)) which expired on _				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection. (A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the					
application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely fill Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 3	ed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); 7 CFR 1.114).	or (3) a timely filed	Request for		
(c) ☐ A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).					
(d) No reply has been received.					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85).					
(b) ☐ The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$					
(c) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.					
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).					
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.					
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.					
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.					
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.					
6. ☐ The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Inte of the decision has expired and there are no allowed o	rference rendered on and beca claims.	use the period for se	eeking court review		
7. ⊠ The reason(s) below:					
See Continuation Sheet					
		Jean D Janvier			
		Examiner Art Unit: 3622			
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to					
minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	ice of Abandonment	· · · · ·	Part of Paper No. 1		
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01) Not	ice of Apaudoliment				

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: The Examiner called Mr. Sonntag, the previous Attorney of record, regarding the current status of the case. However, Mr. Sonnatag claimed that he no longer handles patent matters on this case and provided the name and phone number corresponding to the new Attorney. The Examiner then contacted the new Attorney, although not of record, who thus far has not yet responded to the Examiner's inquiry. Since there has been no recent activity on this case for over two years, then the Examiner has decided to abandon the Instant Application for failure to timely respond to the last office action under 37 CFR 1.134 and 1.135.

JEAN D. JANVIER